

The Rate Of The Prevalence Of High-Risk Pregnancies And The Results On Pregnant Mothers And The Effect On Parameters After The Birth

¹Elham Kashani, ²Amin Hassanzad, ³Mohaddeseh Arab Ameri

¹Assistant Professor of Gynecology, Department of Gynecology & obstetrics, Golestan University of medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

²Department of anesthesiology, Golestan University of medical sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

³General physician, Department of health, Golestan University of medical sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

Elham Kashani, Amin Hassanzad and Mohaddeseh Arab Ameri; The rate of the prevalence of high-risk pregnancies and the results on pregnant mothers and the effect on parameters after the birth

ABSTRACT

Pregnancy causes large physiologic changes in most body systems and these changes may lead to ease or harden examining some events. The purpose of this study is to define the rate of risk in pregnant women and the results in mother and fetus and also to define the risk rate of pregnancy in pregnant women and its effect on parameters after birth in patients of Educational and Medical center of Gorgan, Dezyani. This case - control study was performed in Educational and Medical Center Dezyany, of Golestan University of Medical Sciences in 1390. In this study, 1266 pregnant women were enrolled of which 804 cases (63.5%) according to the criteria for scoring in the questionnaire with a score greater than or equal to 7 were considered as high risk pregnancies (case group), 462 patients (36.5%) were considered as low-risk pregnancies (control group). Parameters after the birth and pregnancy results such as delivery type, infant difficulties, mother health after labor were compared and analyzed by T-test and ANOVA in both groups. About the history of infertility almost 80% of the people who had a 2-year history of infertility were in high-risk group and the difference was significant. ($P = 0.02$) About the history of abortion also almost 90% of the people who had a 2-year history of abortion were in high-risk group and the difference was significant. ($P < 0.05$) Post-term infant was found in 77 cases that were entirely in high-risk group. This difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$). According to results and comparing them to other studies we can conclude that pregnant mothers who have pregnancy difficulties history such as history of abortion or infertility, visits during pregnancy should be paid attention and warn them about the risk of not being visited and timely pursuits.

Key words: High-Risk Pregnancy, Neonate, Prevalence

Introduction

Pregnancy causes large physiologic changes in most body systems and these changes may lead to ease or harden examining some events. In addition it causes some changes in laboratory results [1] According to definition, high risk pregnancy is the time during which the mother, fetus or newborn, are at risk of death, disability or illness higher than usual. Mothers who are in high risk pregnancy group include those who have a history of chronic disease (diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, etc.) or those with a history of previous pregnancy problems (abortion, and stillbirth.) And also multiple pregnancies, gestational age under 18 or over 35 years, pregnancy more than 4 times (the fifth and then) and interval between pregnancies less than one year, can be considered in high-risk pregnancy [2]. Using a suitable rating system can determine how to care before, during and after pregnancy, and thus be effective in reducing prenatal mortality (multiple

pregnancies). According to mortality rate of pregnant women in the province and having a high-risk rank, we can reduce the mortality rate by proper care and more accurate monitoring in pregnant mothers and fetuses. The purpose of this study is to define the rate of risk in pregnant women and the results in mother and fetus and also to define the risk rate of pregnancy in pregnant women and its effect on parameters after birth in patients of Educational and Medical center of Gorgan, Dezyani.

Material and Methods

This is a sectional or analytical approach study. Sampling is going to be easy randomly without replacement. According to no.1 study results and in $\alpha=0.05$, $\beta=0.9$, $d=0.04$ level sample volume according to this formula is 1355. A table is provided to perform the research that high-risk pregnancy's risk factors and each risk's score is recorded in. Each risk factor score varies from 1 to 7. The lowest score

Corresponding Author

Elham Kashani, Assistant Professor of Gynecology, Department of Gynecology & obstetrics, Golestan University of medical Sciences, Gorgan, Iran.

is 1 and the highest is 7. The questionnaire is filled out for pregnant mothers who are admitted to the emergency department of Dezyany's maternity ward and are hospitalized. According to scoring less than 7 or more or equal to 7 patients are divided into 2 groups low-risk group as control group and high-risk group as case group and pregnancy prognoses in delivery type (normal/cesarean)- infant fetal distress- need to monitor the infant- Apgar score - infant defects - the mother's health after childbirth - problems after delivery (time of admission - need special care after birth - birth weight) were compared in high risk group and control group. Data were inserted into the computer by SPSS16 after being collected and coded. Were described by calculating the index parameters such as percentages and mean and Standard Deviation and analyzed by parametric and nonparametric tests. Prevalence rate is reported by percentage and a Confidence Interval was defined for it. Average birth weight was compared in the two groups with Normal Distribution by T-test otherwise with Mann-Whitney test. Other second aims were

analyzed by k²-mann-whitney test significant level considered $\alpha=0.05$ in all tests and the test Power was as 0.9.

Results and Discussion

In this study, 1266 pregnant women were enrolled, of which 804 cases (63.5%) according to the criteria for scoring the questionnaire with a score greater than or equal to 7 were in the group with high risk pregnancies and the remaining 462 patients (36.5%) were in the group with low risk pregnancies. Severe bleeding during delivery or maternal deaths was not reported in any high-risk. In all 4 cases of mothers, in delivery time was single (without husband). No hospitalizations in the intensive care unit (whether the mother or infant) and no surgical complications. The first twin Apgar score in the high risk group (7.96 ± 1.127) and in the low risk group (8.05 ± 0.674) had no significant differences. The first twin birth weight in both high and low risk groups showed significant differences.

Table 1: Comparison of the average birth weight of the first twin in the two study groups.

| Group | Mean (g) | SD (g) |
|-----------|----------|----------|
| High risk | 3103.85 | 1082.807 |
| Low risk | 3232.63 | 418.638 |
| Total | 3150.92 | 900.780 |

As showed in Table 4-2 and with calculating Risk ratio the difference between the two high and low risk groups of abnormalities were not

statistically significant. (RR = 1.24, 95% CI: 0.941-1.63; P-value = 0.239)

Table 2: Frequency Distribution of fetal malformations at birth in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | Anomaly | | Total |
|-----------|---------|---------|------|-------|
| | | + | - | |
| High risk | Number | 11 | 793 | 804 |
| | Percent | 78.6 | 63.3 | 63.5 |
| Low risk | Number | 3 | 459 | 462 |
| | Percent | 21.4 | 36.7 | 36.5 |
| Total | Number | 14 | 1252 | 1266 |
| | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 |

In term of fetal distress a significant difference was reported between the two high-risk and low-risk

groups (RR = 1.37, 95% CI :1.194-1.573; P-value = 0.004).

Table 3: Frequency Distribution of fetal distress at birth in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | Fetal distress at birth | | Total |
|-----------|---------|-------------------------|------|-------|
| | | + | - | |
| High risk | Number | 31 | 773 | 804 |
| | Percent | 86.1 | 62.8 | 63.5 |
| Low risk | Number | 5 | 457 | 462 |
| | Percent | 13.9 | 37.2 | 36.5 |
| Total | Number | 36 | 1230 | 1266 |
| | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 |

In term of the need for fetal monitoring during pregnancy a significant difference was reported

between the two groups (OR = 3.66, 95% CI :1.53-8.75; P-value = 0.002).

Table 4: Frequency Distribution of need to fetal monitoring during pregnancy in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|--|---|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Need for fetal monitoring during pregnancy | + | 37 | 86 | 6 | 14 | 43 | 100 |
| | - | 767 | 62.7 | 456 | 37.3 | 1223 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

In term of type of delivery also significant differences were reported between groups (RR = 0.627, 95% CI :0.57-0 .68; P-value = 0.000).

In term of pregnancy complications only two cases were reported which were in high-risk group. No significant statistical difference was seen. (P-

value = 0.283). In term of parity also the difference between two groups was reported significant. Risk of a high-risk pregnancy was more in nullipara group than in low-risk group (RR = 0.646, 95% CI :0.59-0 .70; P-value = 0.000).

Table 5: Frequency Distribution of delivery type in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Type of delivery | Normal | 333 | 49.9 | 335 | 50.1 | 668 | 100 |
| | CS | 467 | 79.6 | 120 | 20.4 | 587 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Table 6: Frequency Distribution of parity in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Parity | Nullipara | 350 | 60.7 | 227 | 39.3 | 577 | 100 |
| | Multipara | 77 | 93.9 | 5 | 6.1 | 82 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Maternal age in high-risk group was mostly in the risky range less than 18 and over 35. There were

significant differences between the two groups (OR = 0.417, 95% CI: 0.319-0 .545; P-value = 0.000).

Table 7: Frequency Distribution of Maternal age in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | Mother's age | | Total |
|-----------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| | | 35-18 years old | Less than 18 or older than 35 | |
| High risk | Number | 501 | 303 | 804 |
| | Percent | 57.6 | 76.5 | 63.5 |
| Low risk | Number | 369 | 93 | 462 |
| | Percent | 42.4 | 23.5 | 36.5 |
| Total | Number | 870 | 396 | 1266 |
| | Percent | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Race did not influence the risk of high risk pregnancies, although colored race was more

common in high-risk group (RR = 0.925, 95% CI: 0.851-1 .005; P-value = 0.070).

Table 8: Frequency distribution of race in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|-------|---------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Race | White | 454 | 61.4 | 285 | 38.6 | 739 | 100 |
| | Colored | 350 | 66.4 | 177 | 33.6 | 527 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Number of visits during pregnancy showed a significant difference between the two groups. So that 75.1% of people who had been visited less than 5 times or had referred after 27 weeks were in high-risk group.

History of infertility and abortion in both groups showed a significant statistical difference (P-value <0.05).

Table 9: Frequency distribution of visits during pregnancy in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Number of visits during pregnancy | Regularly | 641 | 61.1 | 408 | 38.9 | 1049 | 100 |
| | Less than 5 times or after 27 weeks | 163 | 75.1 | 54 | 24.9 | 217 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Table 10: Frequency distribution of infertility in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low risk | | Total | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| History of infertility | Not | 755 | 62.7 | 449 | 37.3 | 1204 | 100 |
| | Less than 2 years | 7 | 70 | 3 | 30 | 10 | 100 |
| | Equal or more than two years | 42 | 80.8 | 10 | 19.2 | 52 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Table 11: Frequency distribution of abortion in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low risk | | Total | |
|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| History of infertility | Not | 660 | 61.2 | 419 | 38.8 | 1079 | 100 |
| | Once | 107 | 72.8 | 40 | 27.2 | 147 | 100 |
| | More than twice | 37 | 92.5 | 3 | 7.5 | 40 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

As you can see in table below preterm neonate or low birth weight was significantly more in high-risk group than in low-risk group (P-value = 0.000).

Macrosomia infants have been seen also more in high-risk group but there was no statistically significant differences.

Table 12: Frequency distribution of preterm neonate or low birth weight in high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low-risk | | Total | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Preterm or low weight during birth | Negative | 633 | 58.4 | 450 | 41.6 | 1083 | 100 |
| | Positive | 171 | 93.4 | 12 | 55.6 | 183 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Table 13: Frequency of macrosomia in both high and low risk groups.

| Group | | High-risk | | Low risk | | Total | |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Macrosomia | Negative | 775 | 63 | 455 | 37 | 1230 | 100 |
| | Once | 25 | 80.6 | 6 | 19.4 | 31 | 100 |
| | Equal or more than twice | 4 | 80 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 100 |
| Total | | 804 | 63.5 | 462 | 36.5 | 1266 | 100 |

Post-term infant was found in 77 cases. All were in high risk groups (P-value = 0.000). In table below all cases which are risk factors in pregnancy are recorded in high and low risk groups in a descending way. As you see the most prevalent factors were urinary infection without fever, colored race and maternal age in both groups.

By classifying the high-risk group into three groups (mild, 7-15), medium (15-30) and severe (more than 30) the following table shows the mild group being more.

Results and Discussions

In this study, 804 (63.5%) high risk pregnancies is compared with 462 (36.5%) low risk pregnancies. Severe bleeding during childbirth or maternal deaths was not reported in any case. In all 4 cases were single mothers (without husband). Only two cases were reported with pregnancy complications, which were located in high risk group and there was no significant statistical difference (P-value = 0.283). Apgar score showed no significant difference in two groups. High risk group is (7.96±1.127) and low risk is (8.05±0.674). Apgar score below 7 wasn't found in infants. In this study first twin birth weight in high and low risk groups had significant differences. A significant difference was reported in delivery type between the two groups. Cesarean was seen more in high risk group than in low risk group. In Chaman and his partners' study, delivery type and

birth weight showed a significant statistical association with neonate mortality. But pregnancy age, mother and father literacy didn't have a significant statistical association [2]. In a study in Shahrekord, level of education and neonate gender didn't have a significant statistical association with low birth weight. Maternal age, first delivery, gestational age less than 37 weeks, multiple pregnancies, and delivery with cesarean section were significantly associated with low birth weight [9]. In Sharmi and his partners' study in terms of delivery type (normal / cesarean section), Apgar score below 7 at minutes 1 and 5, fetal heart rate abnormalities during labor, premature birth and infant mortality rates, there was a significant difference between the two groups, it means The complications were more in the group with abnormal Biophysics test score. While in terms of the variables of neonate weight and low birth weight, meconium exclusion, and fetal death, there was no significant difference between the two groups [3]. A study in Egypt showed that 3 risk factors had the highest prevalence which was: age at least 35 years old, parity five and more, anemia [5]. Calculating the risk ratio the difference of abnormalities existing in high and low risk groups was not statistically significant that it may be because of abnormal neonate ratio being low in the study. Of fetal distress and fetal monitoring during pregnancy, a significant difference was seen between high and low risk groups.

Table 14: Frequency distribution of risk factors in high and low risk groups.

| Risk factor | Number in high risk group | Number in low risk group |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Urinary infection without fever | 422 | 162 |
| Colored race | 350 | 177 |
| Mother's age less than 18 or greater than 35 | 303 | 93 |
| Weight gain over 20% | 243 | 68 |
| Tendency to Cesarean | 223 | 0 |
| Small pelvis | 185 | 23 |
| Preterm neonate or with low birth weight | 171 | 12 |
| Low number of visits during pregnancy | 163 | 54 |
| History of abortions | 144 | 43 |
| Mild preeclampsia | 139 | 19 |
| Excessive weight gain during pregnancy (more than 21.77 Kg) | 134 | 8 |
| Poor fetal position | 110 | 0 |
| Hemoglobin less than 10 | 100 | 27 |
| Post term below 42 | 77 | 0 |
| Diabetes | 57 | 5 |
| Poor nutrition during pregnancy | 55 | 9 |
| History of infertility | 49 | 13 |
| RH negative and sensitized | 48 | 0 |
| History of thyroid | 41 | 4 |
| History of psychiatric problems | 40 | 4 |
| Hypertension disease | 39 | 4 |
| Severe Preeclampsia | 37 | 1 |
| Obesity: BMI>30 | 35 | 1 |
| History of a child born with a birth anomaly | 29 | 7 |
| Multiparity | 23 | 0 |
| Fever or infection in pregnancy | 21 | 0 |
| Alcohol abuse | 20 | 2 |
| Weight loss during pregnancy | 12 | 0 |
| History of heart disease | 7 | 0 |
| Abnormal birth history | 7 | 0 |
| Severe heart disease | 4 | 0 |
| Cervical failure | 2 | 0 |
| Uterine anomaly | 1 | 0 |
| Polyhydramnios | 1 | 0 |
| Smoking | 1 | 0 |
| Cervical neoplasia | 1 | 0 |

Table 15: Frequency Distribution of high-risk group classified based on points earned.

| groups | numbers | percentage |
|---------------------------------|---------|------------|
| mild High risk (7-15) | 559 | 69.5 |
| Moderate High risk (15-30) | 228 | 28.4 |
| Severe High risk (more than 30) | 17 | 1.3 |
| Total | 804 | 100 |

Of parity also the difference between the groups were reported significantly. In nullipara groups the risk of high risk pregnancy was more than in low risk group. In a study in Saudi Arabia, history of previous pregnancy complications was 67.4% of the causes of high risk pregnancies. These problems included the 67.4% high parity, 12% abortion, 5.8% the previous cesarean section, 4.8% mother with negative RH, 4.5% young nullipara mother. And other causes, including history of preeclampsia, the infant mortality, congenital anomalies and low birth weight babies, secondary causes of drug-related problems are the second kind of causes of high risk pregnancies (25.4%). Maternal age in high risk group was mostly in risky range less than 18 or over 35, and there was a significant difference. Maternal age over 35 is one of the most important risk factors that have been reported in other studies (8,5). Race did not influence the risk of high-risk pregnancy, although colored race was seen more common in

high-risk group. Number of visits during pregnancy showed significant differences in the two groups, so that 75.1% of people who had been visited less than 5 times or referred for a visit after 27 weeks of pregnancy were in high risk group. Therefore we can conclude that we can generally instruct pregnant mothers while want them to care about the regularity of the visits during pregnancy to reduce the risk of high-risk pregnancies. About the history of infertility almost 80% of the people who had a 2-year history of infertility were in high-risk group and the difference was significant. About the history of abortion also almost 90% of the people who had a 2-year history of abortion were in high-risk group and the difference was significant. Post-term infant was found in 77 cases that were entirely in high-risk group. This difference was statistically significant.

In this study, in both high and low risk groups, there were significant differences in the first twin birth weight, fetal distress, need for fetal monitoring

during pregnancy, delivery type, parity, maternal age, number of visits during pregnancy, a history of infertility and birth abortion, preterm or low weight birth, post-term infants. According to results and comparing them to other studies we can conclude that pregnant mothers who have pregnancy difficulties history such as history of abortion or infertility, visits during pregnancy should be paid attention and warn them about the risk of not being visited and timely pursuits. Besides paying attention to pregnancy in mothers older than 35 years old and also patients undergoing cesarean must be the main lines to reduce the risk of high-risk pregnancies.

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Prof. Dipak Sharma (Editor in Chief, Research Journal of Recent Sciences) for his valuable guidance which is highly appreciated.

References

1. Ghazy, J.B., P. Williams, A. Childbirth, 2007. The prevalence of underweight at birth and some related factors in Educational and Medical center. *Iranian journal of Epidemiology*, 3(4): 1-6.
2. Chaman, R.M., M. Yunesian, B. Golestan, K. Holakouee Naini, 2007. Effect of high-risk pregnancies on infant mortality using a case - control method in a sample from rural population. *Iranian journal of Epidemiology*, 3(4): 1-6.
3. Sharmi, S.H., Z. Zahiri, F. Myrblok, F.A. Faraji, A. Sobhani, 2004. The prenatal outcomes in high-risk pregnancy according to Biophysical profile scoring without Non stress test. *Journal of Gilan University of Medical Sciences*, 17(68): 27-33.
4. Nosseir, S.A., M.M. Mortada, L.M. Nofal, N.I. Dabbous, A.I. Ayoub, 1990. Screening of high risk pregnancy among mothers attending MCH centers in Alexandria, *JEgypt Public Health Assoc*, 65(5-6): 463-484.
5. Yassin, S.A., Gamal, A. El-Deen, M.A. Emam, A.K. Omer, 2005. The profile of high-risk pregnancy in El-Mansoura city. *J. Egypt public Health Assoc*, 80(5-6): 687-706.
6. Al-Teheawy, M.M., 2001. Prenatal care in primary health care centers of Al Hassa, Saudi Arabia. *Department of Community Medicine Ain shams University Egypt*.
7. David, K., A. James, 2005. High risk Pregnancy management options. *Journal of Gilan University of Medical Sciences*.
8. Akbar Zade Pasha, A., 2002. Den forth - Diseases of Obstetrics and Gynecology-translation and summarization.
9. Delaram, M., N. Akbari, 2007. The prevalence of underweight at birth and some related factors in Educational and Medical center Hajar in Shahrekord. *Dena Journal*, 2(1): 11-19.